**REMARKS** 

In the Office Action dated October 13, 2005, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-9, and

allowed Claims 10-20. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowance of Claims 10-20, and

has canceled Claims 1-9. Therefore, only allowed Claims 10-20 remain in the application.

The Examiner also objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). Specifically, the

Examiner stated that the claimed element of "means for feeding particles to each side of said

screens from two opposing sides of each of the screens" must be shown, or canceled from the

claims. This element was recited in independent Claim 1, which has been canceled. Therefore,

Applicant respectfully submits that the objection has been overcome.

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully solicits the issuance of allowed Claims 10-

20 at an early date.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCKINGHAM, DOOLITTLE & BURROUGHS, LLP

David P. Dureska, Registration No. 34,152

Edward T. Kennedy, Registration No. 48,478

ETK/edf

4518 Fulton Drive, N.W.

P. O. Box 35548

Canton, OH 44735-5548

Telephone: (330) 491-5218

Facsimile: (330) 252-5216

E-Mail: ekennedy@bdblaw.com

Attorney Docket No.: SIZE-F-REG (45038-9)

«CT2:477649\_v1»

5